## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

A.S.,

Plaintiff,

1:21-cv-620 (BKS/ATB)

v.

CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF ALBANY, et al.,

Defendants.

## **Appearances:**

For Plaintiff:
Rebecca J. Houlding
Giselle Brianceschi Schuetz
Friedman & Houlding LLP
1050 Seven Oaks Lane
Mamaroneck, NY 10543

For Defendants City School District of Albany, City School District of Albany Board of Education, Lori McKenna, Dale Getto, and Anne Savage:

Gregg T. Johnson April J. Laws Loraine Clare Jelinek Johnson & Laws, LLC 646 Plank Road, Suite 205 Clifton Park, NY 12065

For Defendant Jeffrey Honeywell:
Frank W. Miller
Charles C. Spagnoli
Giancarlo Facciponte
The Law Firm of Frank W. Miller, PLLC
6575 Kirkville Road

East Syracuse, NY 13057

Proposed Intervenor pro se:

S.A.

New York, NY 10021

## Hon. Brenda K. Sannes, United States District Judge:

## MEMORANDUM-DECISION AND ORDER

Plaintiff A.S. commenced this action asserting claims of sexual harassment, discrimination, and retaliation under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Title IX of the Education

Amendments of 1972 based upon events that occurred while she was a minor attending Albany High School. (Dkt. No. 1). On July 6, 2021, S.A., appearing pro se, filed a motion to intervene in this action as an additional defendant. (Dkt. No. 28). Plaintiff opposed the motion (Dkt. No. 33). Defendants did not oppose the motion. (Dkt. Nos. 31, 32). S.A. filed a reply on August 2, 2021. (Dkt. No. 34). This matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew T. Baxter who, on August 11, 2021, issued a Report-Recommendation recommending that S.A.'s motion to intervene be denied. (Dkt. No. 39). Magistrate Judge Baxter advised the parties that, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), they had fourteen days within which to file written objections to the report, and that the failure to object to the report within fourteen days would preclude appellate review. (Dkt. No. 39, at 20–21). No objections to the Report-Recommendation were filed.

As no objections to the Report-Recommendation have been filed, and the time for filing objections has expired, the Court's review is for clear error. *See Petersen v. Astrue*, 2 F. Supp. 3d 223, 228–29 (N.D.N.Y. 2012); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to 1983 amendment. Having reviewed Magistrate Judge Baxter's thorough and thoughtful Report-Recommendation for clear error and found none, the Court adopts the Report-Recommendation in its entirety.

For these reasons, it is

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S.A. has been identified by her initials purportedly to help protect the anonymity of her minor son who is accused of sexually harassing A.S. while they were both minors. (Dkt. No. 39, at 1 n.1).

**ORDERED** that the Report-Recommendation (Dkt. No. 39) is **ADOPTED** in its entirety; and it is further

**ORDERED** that S.A.'s motion to intervene (Dkt. No. 28) is **DENIED**; and it is further **ORDERED** that the Clerk serve a copy of this Order upon the parties in accordance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 15, 2021

Syracuse, New York

Brenda K. Sannes

heudat Samos

U.S. District Judge